

RICK D. NYDEGGER
BRENT P. LORIMER
THOMAS R. VUKSINICK
LARRY R. LAYCOCK
JONATHAN W. RICHARDS
DAVID R. WRIGHT
JOHN C. STRINGHAM
JOHN M. GUYNN
CHARLES L. ROBERTS
DANA L. TANGREN
ERIC L. MASCHOFF
CHARLES J. VEVERKA
ROBYN L. PHILLIPS
RICHARD C. GILMORE *
STERLING A. BRENNAN
R. BURNS ISRAELSEN
DAVID R. TODD
DAVID B. DELLENBACH
L. DAVID GRIFFIN
ADRIAN J. LEE
FRASER D. ROY
CARL T. REED



R. PARRISH FREEMAN, JR.
PETER F. MALEN, JR.
L. REX SEARS, PH.D.
WILLIAM R. RICHTER
ERIC M. KAMERATH
ROBERT E. AYCOCK
JENS C. JENKINS
MICHAEL B. DODD
KEVIN W. STINGER
WILLIAM J. ATHAY
RYAN D. BENSON
SARA D. JONES
TIGE KELLER
JANNA L. JENSEN
MATTHEW D. TODD
J. LAVAR OLDHAM
MICHAEL J. FRODSHAM
JOSEPH L. KRUPA
BRETT A. HANSEN
BRETT I. JOHNSON
MATTHEW A. BARLOW
WESLEY C. ROSANDER
ANDREW S. HANSEN
CHAD E. NYDEGGER
JOSEPH G. PIA
CLINTON E. DUKE
RYAN N. FARR *
JAMES B. BELSHE
KIRK R. HARRIS
KEELY SCHNEITER
MICHAEL M. BALLARD
DAVID A. JONES
SHANE K. JENSEN
JONATHAN M. BENNS, PH.D.

VERNON R. RICE §
OF COUNSEL

WORKMAN | NYDEGGER

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111
TELEPHONE: (801) 533-9800
FAX: (801) 328-1707

WEBSITE: HTTP://WWW.WNLAW.COM



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No: 15292.2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of)
)
Stefan Johansson)
)
Serial No.: 09/684,057) Art Unit
) 2153
Filed: October 6, 2000)
)
Confirmation No.: 1607)
)
For: DATA TRANSFER)
)
Examiner: Bradley E. Edelman)

TRANSMITTAL FOR SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 is a Second Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, which includes the following statements, if any, required variously by 37 C.F.R. § 1.98:

- Statement of relevance of selected cited references not in the English language which are not translated.
- Statement that selected cited references are substantially cumulative of an enclosed or previously submitted reference.
- Statement that selected cited references were previously cited by or submitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office in a prior application which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120.

A. Additional Materials Required Due to Content of Information Disclosure Statement

Transmitted are the following documents in addition to the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement as required variously under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98:

- ☒ Form PTO-1449 listing 2 references submitted for consideration.
- ☒ Copies of each of the references listed on the Form PTO-1449.
- ☐ English translations of _____ (____) of the references listed on the Form PTO-1449 which are not in the English language.
- ☐ Copies of the following documents from the prosecution of a previous, related application:
 - ☐ Form PTO-1449 AND INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT;
- and
- ☐ Form PTO-892

B. Additional Materials Required Due to Timing of Filing of Information Disclosure Statement

The transmitted Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within one (1) of the following four (4) time periods:

- I. ☐ Prior to the later of either three (3) months following the filing date or the mailing of a first Office Action. Accordingly, no materials other than those listed above are enclosed.
- II. ☒ Currently with the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE). Accordingly, no fee is required for submission of the Information Disclosure Statement.
- III. ☐ After the mailing of a Notice of Allowance, but before payment of the Issue Fee. Accordingly, in order to secure consideration thereof, each of the following are also enclosed:
 - ☐ Promptness Certificate;
 - ☐ Petition for Consideration; and
 - ☐ Check No. in the amount of \$ _____ constituting the petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)(1).
- IV. ☐ After payment of the Issue Fee. Accordingly, in order to secure consideration thereof, each of the following are also enclosed:

____ Petition to Withdraw from Issue; and

____ Check No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ constituting the petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)(1).

C. Fees

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of or any deficiency in the following fees associated with this communication, or to credit any overpayment thereof, to Deposit Account No. 23-3178. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

X Any fee required in relation to filing of this letter or any documents transmitted therewith.


____ The submission fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) in the event that 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) applies and the Examiner is not satisfied that any Promptness Certificate submitted meets the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

____ The submission fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).

____ The petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)(1).

Dated this 26th day of September 2005.

Respectfully submitted,



DANA L. TANGREN
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 37,246
Customer No. 022913
Telephone No. 801.533.9800

Applicant: Stefan Johansson
 Serial No.: 09/684,057
 Filing Date: October 6, 2000
 Title: DATA TRANSFER

Confirmation No.: 1607
 Att'y Docket No.: 15292.2
 Group: 2153



SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANT

U.S. Patent Documents

<u>Examiner Initial*</u>	<u>Document Number</u>	<u>Issue Date</u>	<u>Name</u>
_____ 1	5,654,957	08/05/1997	Koyama
_____ 2	5,958,018	09/28/1999	Eng et al.
_____ 3	6,154,461	11/28/2000	Sturniolo et al.

Foreign Patent Documents

<u>Examiner Initial*</u>	<u>Document Number</u>	<u>Publication Date</u>	<u>Country or Patent Office</u>	<u>Translation</u>
_____ 4	1 083 768 A	03/14/2001	EPO	N/A
_____ 5	WO 97/18651	05/22/1997	PCT	N/A
_____ 6	WO 99/13448	03/18/1999	PCT	N/A
_____ 7	WO 99/16268	04/01/1999	PCT	N/A
_____ 8	WO 99/37104	07/22/1999	PCT	NA/
_____ 9	WO 00/56008	09/21/2000	PCT	N/A

Other Documents

(including author, title, pertinent pages, etc.)

Examiner
Initial*


Examiner:

Date Considered:

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Stefan Johansson
Serial No.: 09/684,057
Filing Date: October 6, 2000
For: DATA TRANSFER

Confirmation No.: 1607
Att'y Docket No.: 15292.2
Group: 2153



References Cited by Applicants

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.


W:\15292\4\DFW0000011605V001.doc

Examiner:

Date Considered:

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Stefan Johansson
 Serial No.: 09/684,057
 Filing Date: October 6, 2000
 For: DATA TRANSFER



Sheet 1 of 1
 Confirmation No.: 1607
 Att'y Docket No.: 15292.2
 Group: 2153

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANT

U.S. Patent Documents

<u>Examiner Initial*</u>	<u>Document Number</u>	<u>Issue Date</u>	<u>Name</u>
_____ 1	5,351,235	09/27/94	Lahtinen
_____ 2	6,360,257	03/19/02	Rydberg et al.

Foreign Patent Documents

<u>Examiner Initial*</u>	<u>Document Number</u>	<u>Publication Date</u>	<u>Country or Patent Office</u>	<u>Translation</u>
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------

Other Documents

(including author, title, pertinent pages, etc.)

Examiner
Initial*

References Cited by Applicants

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

W:\15292\2\GPM0000001102V001.doc

Examiner:

Date Considered:

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.